**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM**

**PRESENT :**

**THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE J.B.KOSHY**

**MONDAY, THE 24TH SEPTEMBER 2007 / 2ND ASWINA 1929**

**RP.No. 412 of 2007(M)**
**IN**
**O.P.NO.19442 OF 1995**
**----------**
**AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT/ORDER IN OP.19442/1995 Dated 06/07/2001**
**.....**

**REVIEW PETITIONER/PETITOINER:**
**----------**

**RAMAKRISHNAN, S/O.GOPALAN NAIR,**
**P.O.MEENANGADI, WAYNDAD DISRICT.**

**BY ADV. SRI.P.NARAYANAN**

**RESPONDENTS/RESPONDENTS:**
**----------**

1. **THE GOVERNMENT OF KERALA REP. BY THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY, DEPARTMENT OF PERSONAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICE (ADVICE-C), THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.**

2. **THE REGISTRAR, HIGH COURT OF KERALA, ERNAKULAM.**

3. **THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, KALPETTA, WAYNAD DISTRICT.**

**BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.NOBLE MATHEW**

**THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 24/09/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:**

**J.B.KOSHY, J.**

**----------**

**R.P.NO.412 OF 2007 IN O.P.NO.19442 OF 1995**

**&**

**C.M.APPLN.NO.179 OF 2007 IN R.P.NO.412 OF 2007**

**----------**

**Dated this the 24th day of September, 2007**

**O R D E R**

**KOSHY,J.**

I see no ground to review the judgment under review and a review petition cannot be equated to an appeal proceedings or to a petition for re-hearing of the matter. There is also no ground for condoning the long delay of more than 2000 days in filing the review petition. Judgment was pronounced in open court. There is no justification for waiting till retirement after availing the benefits of the judgment and hence both review petition and delay petition are dismissed.

**J.B.KOSHY, JUDGE**

prp

**J.B.KOSHY & K.HEMA, JJ.**

**----------**

**M.F.A.NO. OF 2006 ()**

**----------**

**J U D G M E N T**

**----------**

**11th September, 2007**